

# Noncommutative martingale inequalities

Lian Wu

Central South University

*wulian@csu.edu.cn*

Summer Seminar-Harbin, August 9, 2022

# Overview

Classical martingale inequalities

Noncommutative martingale inequalities

Recent progress and problems

# I. Classical Martingale Inequalities

# Classical martingales

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ : a probability space.  
 $L_0(\Omega)$ : the set of all measurable functions.  
 $L_p(\Omega)$ : classical Lebesgue space.  
 $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n$ :  $\uparrow$ , sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n)$ .
- The conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}_n$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is defined by

$$\int_A \mathbb{E}_n(f) = \int_A f, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

- An adapted sequence  $f = (f_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $L_1(\Omega)$  is called a **martingale** if for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_n(f_{n+1}) = f_n.$$

**Example:**  $\Omega = [0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\{[k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}) : k \geq 0\})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$  is Lebesgue measure (dyadic martingales).

## Basic operators in martingale theory

Let  $f = (f_n)_n$  be a martingale and  $df = (d_n f)_n = (f_n - f_{n-1})_n$  be the martingale difference sequence of  $f$ .

Doob's maximal operator:

$$Mf := \sup_n |f_n|.$$

Square function:

$$Sf := \left( \sum_n |d_n f|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

Conditioned square function:

$$sf := \left( \sum_n \mathbb{E}_{n-1} |d_n f|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

# Classical martingale inequalities

## Theorem (Doob's maximal inequality)

We have

$$\|Mf\|_{1,\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_1 \quad (1)$$

and

$$\|Mf\|_p \lesssim_p \|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p \leq \infty.$$

## Theorem (Burkholder-Gundy inequality)

We have

$$\|Sf\|_{1,\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_1$$

and

$$\|f\|_p \approx_p \|Sf\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

## Theorem (Burkholder inequality)

We have

$$\|f\|_p \approx_p \max \left\{ \|sf\|_p, \left( \sum_n \|df_n\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \right\}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty.$$

## Theorem (Burkholder inequality with maximal diagonal)

In the above theorem, the diagonal part  $\left( \sum_n \|d_n f\|_p^p \right)^{1/p}$  can be replaced with  $\| \sup_n |d_n f| \|_p$ ; namely,

$$\|f\|_p \approx_p \max \left\{ \|sf\|_p, \| \sup_n |d_n f| \|_p \right\}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty.$$

## Remark

- (i) The above are the most fundamental inequalities...
- (ii) The proofs mainly depend on **stopping times**.
- (iii) The above results also hold true in more general context (e.g.,  $L_{p,q}$ ,  $L_\Phi$ , symmetric spaces  $E$ ).

## II. Noncommutative Martingale Inequalities



## $\tau$ -measurable operators

- ▶ A noncommutative probability space  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ :  $\mathcal{M}$  is a finite von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal faithful trace  $\tau$  and  $\tau(1) = 1$ .

Example 1.  $\mathcal{M} = L_\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $\tau = \int_\Omega$ ;  $\tau(1) = \mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$   
 $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ : the classical probability space

Example 2.  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\tau = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}$   
 $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ : NC probability space.

- ▶  $L_0(\mathcal{M})$ : the set of  $\tau$ -measurable operators.

Indeed,  $L_0(\mathcal{M})$  consists of all the operators affiliated to  $\mathcal{M}$  since  $\mathcal{M}$  is finite.

# Generalised singular value function

- ▶ For  $x \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$ , the distribution function of  $x$  is defined by

$$n_x(s) = \tau(\chi_{(s, \infty)}(x)), \quad -\infty < s < \infty.$$

- ▶ The generalised singular value function of  $x$  is defined by

$$\mu(t, x) = \inf \{s > 0 : n_{|x|}(s) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

## Example

If  $\mathcal{M} = L_\infty(\Omega, P)$ , then  $n_f(s) = P(f > s)$  is the distribution function of  $f$  and  $\mu(\cdot, f)$  is just the classical non-increasing rearrangement function of  $f$ . Moreover, we have

$$n_{|f|}(\lambda) = \mathbb{P}(\{\omega : |f(\omega)| > \lambda\}) = |\{t \in (0, 1] : \mu(t, f) > \lambda\}|.$$

# Noncommutative symmetric spaces

- ▶ Symmetric space  $E$ : a Banach function space  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$  on  $(0, 1]$  is called symmetric if for  $g \in E$  and measurable  $f$  with  $\mu(f) \leq \mu(g)$ , we have  $f \in E$  and  $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$ .  
(examples:  $L_p$ ,  $L_\Phi$ ,  $L_{p,q}$ , etc.)
- ▶ NC symmetric spaces  $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ : given  $E$  and  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  as above, the corresponding NC symmetric space  $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is defined by

$$E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \{x \in L_0(\mathcal{M}) : \mu(x) \in E\}$$

equipped with  $\|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} := \|\mu(x)\|_E$ .

- ▶ Examples.

$$\text{NC } L_p : \|x\|_{L_p} := \left( \int_0^\infty \mu(t, x)^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

$$\text{NC weak } L_p : \|x\|_{L_{p,\infty}} := \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} \mu(t, x).$$

$$\text{NC Lorentz} : \|x\|_{L_{p,q}} := \left( \int_0^\infty t^{q/p-1} \mu(t, x)^q dt \right)^{1/q} \dots\dots$$

# Noncommutative martingales

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a noncommutative probability space.

- ▶  $(\mathcal{M}_n)_n$  is an increasing filtration of von Neumann subalgebras of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\overline{\bigcup_n \mathcal{M}_n}^{weak} = \mathcal{M}$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{E}_n : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  is a trace preserving conditional expectation.
- ▶ An adapted sequence  $x = (x_n)_n$  in  $L_1(\mathcal{M})$  is called a **noncommutative martingale** with respect to  $(\mathcal{M}_n)_n$  if

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x_{n+1}) = x_n.$$

**Examples.** Noncommutative dyadic martingales...

## A NC version of Doob's maximal inequality

Theorem (Cuculescu, J. Multivariate Anal., 1971)

Let  $x = (x_n)_n$  be a nonnegative martingale. For any  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a projection  $q_\lambda$  satisfying

$$q_\lambda x_n q_\lambda \leq \lambda q_\lambda, \quad \text{for all } n,$$

and such that

$$\lambda \tau(1 - q_\lambda) \lesssim \|x\|_1.$$

Remark

The above result can be regarded as a NC version of (1). Indeed,

$$1 - q_\lambda \sim \left\{ \sup_n |f_n| > \lambda \right\} = \{Mf > \lambda\};$$

$$\tau(1 - q_\lambda) \sim \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_n |f_n| > \lambda\right) = \mathbb{P}(Mf > \lambda).$$

However, no more results for NC martingales until 1997!

# Main difficulties

- How to define Doob's maximal operator:  $\sup_n |f_n|$  ?

# Main difficulties

- How to define Doob's maximal operator:  $\sup_n |f_n|$  ?
- How to define the square function? Do we have

$$\left\| \left( \sum_n |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \approx \left\| \left( \sum_n |x_n^*|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \quad ?$$

**Answer: No!**

**Example.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = (M_n(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr})$ . Set  $x_k = e_{k,0}$ . It is immediate that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |x_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} = n^{1/2-1/p}, \quad \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |x_k^*|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} = 1.$$

# Main difficulties

- How to define Doob's maximal operator:  $\sup_n |f_n|$  ?
- How to define the square function? Do we have

$$\left\| \left( \sum_n |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \approx \left\| \left( \sum_n |x_n^*|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \quad ?$$

**Answer: No!**

**Example.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = (M_n(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr})$ . Set  $x_k = e_{k,0}$ . It is immediate that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |x_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} = n^{1/2-1/p}, \quad \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |x_k^*|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} = 1.$$

- Stopping times are not available...



# Breakthrough I: NC Burkholder-Gundy inequality

NC square functions:

$$S_c(x) := \left( \sum_n |d_n x|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad S_r(x) := \left( \sum_n |d_n x^*|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

NC BG inequality (Pisier-Xu, CMP, 1997)

For  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} \approx_p \max \left\{ \|S_c(x)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}, \|S_r(x)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} \right\}.$$

For  $1 < p < 2$ ,

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} \approx_p \inf_{x=y+z} \left\{ \|S_c(y)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} + \|S_r(z)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} \right\}.$$

Key: iteration method and duality.

## Breakthrough II: NC Doob's maximal inequality

NC maximal function space:

Definition (Junge, J. Reine Angew. Math., 2002)

The space  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$  is defined as the set of all sequences  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$  for which there exist  $a, b \in L_{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $y = (y_n)_n \subset L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$  such that

$$x_n = ay_n b, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

For  $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$ , we define

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)} = \inf \left\{ \|a\|_{L_{2p}(\mathcal{M})} \sup_n \|y_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathcal{M})} \|b\|_{L_{2p}(\mathcal{M})} \right\}.$$

Remark

If we consider a sequence of positive operators  $x = (x_k)$ , then it can be seen that  $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$  iff there is  $a \in L_p^+(\mathcal{M})$  s.t.  $x_n \leq a$  for all  $n$ ; moreover,

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)} = \inf \left\{ \|a\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} : a \in L_p^+(\mathcal{M}), x_n \leq a, \forall n \right\}.$$

Obviously, in the classical case, the above goes back to  $\|\sup_n |f_n|\|_p$ .

## NC Dualised Doob inequality (Junge, 2002)

$$\left\| \sum_k \mathcal{E}_k a_k \right\|_p \lesssim_p \left\| \sum_k a_k \right\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad a_k \geq 0.$$

## NC Doob's maximal inequality (Junge, 2002)

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_n x)_n\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)} \lesssim_p \|x\|_p, \quad 1 < p \leq \infty.$$

### Remark

- (i) The proof is quite complicated and is totally different from the classical case.
- (ii) An alternative proof can be found in [Junge-Xu, JAMS, 2007].

# NC Burkholder inequality

NC conditioned square function:

$$s_c(x) := \left( \sum_n \mathcal{E}_{n-1} |d_n x|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad s_r(x) := \left( \sum_n \mathcal{E}_{n-1} |d_n x^*|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

NC Burkholder inequality (Junge-Xu, AOP, 2003)

For  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} \approx_p \max \left\{ \|s_c(x)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}, \|s_r(x)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}, \left( \sum_n \|d_n x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}^p \right)^{1/p} \right\}.$$

For  $1 < p < 2$ ,

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} \approx_p \inf_{x=y+z+w} \left\{ \|s_c(y)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} + \|s_r(z)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} + \left( \sum_n \|d_n w\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}^p \right)^{1/p} \right\}.$$

## NC Burkholder inequality with maximal diagonal (Junge-Xu, Isreal J. Math., 2008)

For  $2 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} \approx_p \max \left\{ \|s_c(x)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}, \|s_r(x)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}, \|(d_n x)_n\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)} \right\}.$$

For  $1 < p < 2$ ,

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} \approx_p \inf_{x=y+z+w} \left\{ \|s_c(y)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} + \|s_r(z)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} + \|(d_n w)_n\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)} \right\}.$$

Key:

1) NC BG inequality, duality

2) NC Burkholder with normal diagonal, interpolation, duality

# Weak type inequalities for NC martingales

(Randrianantoanina, PLMS, 2005) There are two martingales  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $x = a + b$

$$\|S_c(a)\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M})} + \|S_r(b)\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M})} \lesssim \|x\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M})}.$$

(Randrianantoanina, AOP, 2007) There are three **adapted sequences**  $\eta = (\eta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\zeta = (\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , and  $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $d_n y = \eta_n + \zeta_n + \xi_n$  and satisfy the weak-type estimate:

$$\|\eta\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \ell_\infty)} + \|s_c(\zeta)\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M})} + \|s_r(\xi)\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M})} \lesssim \|x\|_1.$$

**Key:** Cuculescu projection  $\leftrightarrow$  stopping time  
or NC Gundy's decomposition

**Problem:** Whether we can find **three martingales** such that the last inequality holds true is unknown. This is **open** even for classical martingales.

## Generalizations: $L_p \rightarrow L_{p,q}, L_\Phi, E\dots$

### NC Burkholder-Gundy inequality ( $E(\mathcal{M})$ or $\Phi$ -moment):

- ▶ [T. N. Bekjan, Z. Chen](#), *Interpolation and  $\Phi$ -moment inequalities of noncommutative martingales*, Probab. Theory Related Fields. 152 (2012).
- ▶ [S. Dirksen](#) *Noncommutative Boyd interpolation theorems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 367 (2015), no 6, 4079–4110.

### NC Doob's maximal inequality ( $E(\mathcal{M})$ or $\Phi$ -moment):

- ▶ [S. Dirksen](#), *Weak-type interpolation for noncommutative maximal operators*, J. Operator Theory 73 (2015) no. 2, 515-532.
- ▶ [T. N. Bekjan, Z. Chen, A. Osekowski](#), *Noncommutative maximal inequalities associated with convex functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 369 (2017), no. 1, 409-427.

## NC Burkholder inequality ( $E(\mathcal{M})$ or $\Phi$ -moment):

- ▶ N. Randrianantoanina, L. Wu, *Martingale inequalities in noncommutative symmetric spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 269 (2015), 2222-2253.
- ▶ N. Randrianantoanina and L. Wu, *Noncommutative Burkholder/Rosenthal inequalities associated with convex functions*, Ann. Poincaré Probab. Statist. (2017).
- ▶ N. Randrianantoanina, L. Wu and Q. Xu, *Noncommutative Davis type decompositions and applications*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2019).
- ▶ Y. Jiao, D. Zanin and D. Zhou, *Noncommutative Burkholder/Rosenthal inequalities with maximal diagonal*, submitted.

Key: most of the above results depend on interpolations.



(Junge, J. Reine Angew. Math., 2002)

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_n x)_n\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^\theta)} \leq \|x\|_p, \quad 2 < p < \infty, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1.$$

(Hong-Junge-Parcet, JFA, 2016)

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_n x)_n\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^\theta)} \leq \|S_c(x)\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, 1 - p/2 < \theta < 1.$$

(Randrianantoanina-W-Zhou, JFA, 2021) If  $E \in \text{Int}[L_p, L_q]$  for  $1 < p \leq q < 2$ , then we have

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_n x)_n\|_{E(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^\theta)} \leq \|S_c(x)\|_E, \quad 1 - p/2 < \theta < 1.$$

Remark

- (i) Whether the last estimate holds true for  $E \in \text{Int}[L_p, L_q]$  with  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$ ?
- (ii) Asymmetric versions of Burkholder inequality and Davis inequality?

### III. Recent Progress and Problems

## (i) NC atomic decomposition

### Open Question:

Atomic decomposition of  $h_p^c \rightarrow$  dual of  $h_p^c$  for  $0 < p \leq 1$ , new martingale inequalities, real interpolation... etc.

## (i) NC atomic decomposition

### Open Question:

Atomic decomposition of  $h_p^c \rightarrow$  dual of  $h_p^c$  for  $0 < p \leq 1$ , new martingale inequalities, real interpolation... etc.

- ▶ T. N. Bekjan, Z. Chen, M. Perrin and Z. Yin, *Atomic decomposition and interpolation for Hardy spaces of noncommutative martingales*, JFA (2010).
- ▶ G. Hong and T. Mei, *John-Nirenberg inequality and atomic decomposition for noncommutative martingales*, JFA (2012).
- ▶ Y. Jiao, L. Wu, D. Zanin, and D. Zhou, *Noncommutative dyadic martingales and Walsh–Fourier series*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2018).

## (i) NC atomic decomposition

### Open Question:

Atomic decomposition of  $h_p^c \rightarrow$  dual of  $h_p^c$  for  $0 < p \leq 1$ , new martingale inequalities, real interpolation... etc.

- ▶ T. N. Bekjan, Z. Chen, M. Perrin and Z. Yin, *Atomic decomposition and interpolation for Hardy spaces of noncommutative martingales*, JFA (2010).
- ▶ G. Hong and T. Mei, *John-Nirenberg inequality and atomic decomposition for noncommutative martingales*, JFA (2012).
- ▶ Y. Jiao, L. Wu, D. Zanin, and D. Zhou, *Noncommutative dyadic martingales and Walsh–Fourier series*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2018).

### A Real Breakthrough:

- ▶ Z. Chen, N. Randrianantoanina and Q. Xu, *Atomic decompositions for noncommutative martingales*, arXiv: 2001.08775, 2020.

# Algebraic atoms

## Definition

Let  $0 < p < 2$ . An operator  $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$  is called an algebraic  $h_p^c$ -atom if  $x = \sum_{n \geq 1} y_n b_n$  and for  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q}$ :

- (i)  $\mathcal{E}_n(y_n) = 0$  and  $b_n \in L_q(\mathcal{M}_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \|y_n\|_2^2 \leq 1$  and  $\|(\sum_{n \geq 1} |b_n|^2)^{1/2}\|_q \leq 1$ .

## Definition

For  $0 < p < 2$ , we say that  $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$  admits an algebraic  $h_p^c$ -atomic decomposition if  $x = \sum_k \lambda_k a_k$ , where for each  $k$ ,  $a_k$  is an algebraic  $h_p^c$ -atom or an element of the unit ball of  $L_p(\mathcal{M}_1)$ , and  $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\sum_k |\lambda_k|^p < \infty$  for  $0 < p \leq 1$  and  $\sum_k |\lambda_k| < \infty$  for  $1 < p < 2$ .

# Algebraic atomic decompositions

## Definition

The algebraic atomic column martingale Hardy space  $h_{p,aa}^c(\mathcal{M})$  is defined to be the space of all  $x$  which admit a algebraic  $h_p^c$ -atomic decomposition and is equipped with

$$\|x\|_{h_{p,aa}^c} = \inf \left( \sum_k |\lambda_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \text{ for } 0 < p \leq 1;$$

$$\|x\|_{h_{p,aa}^c} = \inf \sum_k |\lambda_k| \text{ for } 1 < p < 2.$$

## Theorem (Chen-Randrianantoanina-Xu,2020)

Let  $0 < p < 2$ . Then

$$h_p^c(\mathcal{M}) = h_{p,aa}^c(\mathcal{M})$$

with equivalent (quasi) norms.

## Future Problems:

- ▶ Following Chen-Randrianantoanina-Xu's method, we can also construct the atomic decompositions for NC martingale Hardy-Orlicz spaces  $h_{\Phi}^C$ . However, **nothing is known** for the NC martingale Hardy-Lorentz spaces  $h_{p,q}^C$ .
- ▶ Chen-Randrianantoanina-Xu's atomic decomposition has certain distance from the one constructed in classical case. Constructing NC atomic decompositions which exactly corresponding to the classical one **remains open**.



## (ii) NC good- $\lambda$ inequalities

Open Question: NC good- $\lambda$  inequality.

“On the other hand, the noncommutative analogue of good- $\lambda$  inequality seems **open**. Then, in order to prove the noncommutative  $\Phi$ -moment inequalities we need new ideas.”

T. N. Bekjan, Z. Chen, *Interpolation and  $\Phi$ -moment inequalities of noncommutative martingales*, Probab. Theory Related Fields. 152 (2012).

Main difficulty:

finding an appropriate form of good- $\lambda$  inequality which is transferrable to NC setting.

Solution:

Y. Jiao, A. Osękowski, L. Wu, *Noncommutative good- $\lambda$  inequalities*, arXiv: 1805.07057v2, 2018.

## Definition (Good- $\lambda$ testing condition)

Let  $A, B \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$  be self-adjoint operators.  $(A, B)$  is said to satisfy the good- $\lambda$  testing conditions if we have

$$\mathcal{E}_k(|A - \mathcal{E}_{k-1}A|^2) \leq \mathcal{E}_k(B^2), \quad k \geq 0.$$

## Theorem (Jiao et al., 2022)

Let  $E \in \text{Int}[L_p, L_q]$  for  $2 < p < q < \infty$ . Let  $(A, B)$  satisfy good- $\lambda$  testing condition with  $B \in E(\mathcal{M})$ . We have

$$\|A\|_E \leq c_E \|B\|_E.$$

## Remark

- (i)  $\Phi$ -moment version holds true as well.
- (ii) Searching more applications of NC good- $\lambda$  inequalities...

## (ii) Asymmetric martingale inequalities

Asymmetric maximal function space:

Definition (Junge, J. Reine Angew. Math., 2002)

Let  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ . The space  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^\theta)$  is defined as the set of all sequences  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$  for which there exist  $a \in L_{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $b \in L_{\frac{p}{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})$ , and  $y = (y_n)_n \subset L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$  such that

$$x_n = ay_nb, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

For  $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^\theta)$ , we define

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^\theta)} = \inf \left\{ \|a\|_{L_{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}(\mathcal{M})} \sup_n \|y_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathcal{M})} \|b\|_{L_{\frac{p}{\theta}}(\mathcal{M})} \right\}.$$

Remark

- (i) If  $\theta = 1/2$ , then the above goes back to  $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$ .
- (ii) Given symmetric space  $E$ , one may define  $E(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$  similarly.

(Junge, J. Reine Angew. Math., 2002)

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_n X)_n\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^\theta)} \leq \|X\|_p, \quad 2 < p < \infty, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1.$$

(Hong-Junge-Parcet, JFA, 2016)

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_n X)_n\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^\theta)} \leq \|S_c(X)\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, 1 - p/2 < \theta < 1.$$

(Randrianantoanina-W-Zhou, JFA, 2021) If  $E \in \text{Int}[L_p, L_q]$  for  $1 < p \leq q < 2$ , then we have

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_n X)_n\|_{E(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^\theta)} \leq \|S_c(X)\|_E, \quad 1 - p/2 < \theta < 1.$$

Remark

- (i) Whether the last estimate holds true for  $E \in \text{Int}[L_p, L_q]$  with  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$ ?
- (ii) Asymmetric versions of Burkholder inequality and Davis inequality?

## (iv) NC differential subordinate martingale inequalities

### Backgrounds

- The classical differential subordination of martingales was introduced by Burkholder in the eighties.
- Let  $f = (f_n)_n$ ,  $g = (g_n)_n$  be two martingales. We say that  $g$  is differentially subordinate to  $f$  if for any  $n$ , we have

$$|d_n g| \leq |d_n f|.$$

### Theorem (Burkholder, AOP, 1984)

Suppose that  $g$  is differentially subordinate to  $f$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{1,\infty} &\leq 2\|f\|_1; \\ \|g\|_p &\leq (p^* - 1)\|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p^* = \max\{p, p/(p-1)\}$ . The constants are both sharp.

Natural question: a NC version of the above theorem?

## Main difficulty: an appropriate definition

- ▶ Let  $y, x$  be two self-adjoint martingales. If  $|d_n y|^2 \leq |d_n x|^2$ , then  $S_c(y) = S_r(y) \leq S_r(x) = S_c(x)$ . NC Burkholder-Gundy inequality yields that

$$\|y\|_p \lesssim_p \|S_c(y)\|_p \leq \|S_c(x)\|_p \lesssim_p \|x\|_p, \quad 2 < p < \infty.$$

Moreover, obviously,  $|d_n y|^2 \leq |d_n x|^2$  goes back to the classical definition. This means that  $|d_n y|^2 \leq |d_n x|^2$  is a possible candidate (at least for  $2 < p < \infty$ ).

- ▶ On the other hand, it is not hard to construct martingales  $y, x$  satisfies  $|d_n y|^2 \leq |d_n x|^2$ ; while the weak-type  $(1, 1)$  and strong-type  $(p, p)$  estimate for  $1 < p < 2$  fails. Therefore, we need a 'stronger' (compared with  $|d_n y|^2 \leq |d_n x|^2$ ) definition for the case  $1 \leq p < 2$ .

### Definition (Jiao-Osękowski-W, Adv. Math., 2018)

We say that  $y$  is *differentially subordinate* to  $x$ , if for any  $n$  and any projection  $R \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}$ , we have

$$Rdy_nRdy_nR \leq Rdx_nRdx_nR. \quad (\text{DS})$$

We say that  $y$  is *weakly differentially subordinate* to  $x$  if for any  $n$

$$|dy_n|^2 \leq |dx_n|^2. \quad (\text{WDS})$$

### Remark

- (i) In the commutative case, the above definitions are identical!
- (ii) However, in the NC case, differential subordination  $\implies$  weak differential subordination.

## Main results

Let  $x, y$  be two self-adjoint martingales.

Theorem 1 (Jiao-Osekowski-W, Adv. Math., 2018)

Suppose that  $y, x$  satisfy (DS). Then we have

$$\|y\|_{1,\infty} \leq 36\|x\|_1;$$

$$\|y\|_p \leq c_p\|x\|_p, \quad 1 < p < 2.$$

Theorem 2 (Jiao-Osekowski-W, Adv. Math., 2018)

Suppose that  $y, x$  satisfy (WDS). Then

$$\|y\|_p \leq c_p\|x\|_p, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty.$$



## Remark

- (i) The constant  $c_p$  in Theorem 1 is of order  $O((p-1)^{-1})$  as  $p \rightarrow 1_+$ . The constant in Theorem 2 is of order  $O(p)$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . These are already **optimal** in the commutative setting.
- (ii) The proof of Theorem 1 depends on new Gundy's decomposition, which is of independent interest; while, Theorem 2 relies on an idea of NC good- $\lambda$  method.
- (iii) Based on the above results, we further considered strong differential subordination for NC submartingales and square function estimate for NC differential subordinate martingales.  
[Y. Jiao, A. Osekowski and L. Wu](#), *Strong differential subordinates for noncommutative submartingales*, Ann. Probab., (2019).  
[Y. Jiao, N. Randrianantoanina, L. Wu and D. Zhou](#), *Square Functions for Noncommutative Differentially Subordinate Martingales*, Comm. Math. Phys., (2020).

## (v) Two future topics

The following two topics are blank or almost blank.

- ▶ NC  $A_p$  weights and weighted martingale inequalities.  
→ nothing is known at all!
- ▶ NC continuous-time martingale theory and NC stochastic integral theory  
→ not sufficient at all!

Thank You!