

Quantitative mean ergodic inequalities I: power
bounded operators acting on one
noncommutative L_p space

Wei Liu

FuDan University

Summer Seminar-Harbin, August 15, 2022

Joint work with Guixiang Hong and Bang Xu

Classical ergodic theory

Given a Hilbert space $L_2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. Let T be an **unitary operator** on $L_2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, and let $P : L_2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \rightarrow F$ be a projection, where $F = \{f \in L_2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) : Tf = f\}$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i f = Pf, \forall f \in L_2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu).$$

- ▶ von Neumann's mean ergodic theorem, norm.
- ▶ Birkhoff's pointwise ergodic theorem, pointwise.

- ▶ Let $Tf(x) = f(\rho x)$, where $\mu \circ \rho^{-1} = \mu$. If $\mu(X) = 1$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i f = \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{F}_0),$$

where \mathcal{F}_0 is the σ -algebra of all ρ -invariant sets ($\rho^{-1}(A) = A$) in \mathcal{F} .

- ▶ ρ is **ergodic**, $\mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{F}_0) = \int_X f d\mu$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i f = \int_X f d\mu.$$

- ▶ Riesz, 1938, mean ergodic theorem, T : L_p -contraction, namely $\|T\|_{L_p \rightarrow L_p} \leq 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- ▶ Burkholder, 1962, T is **not** positive,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i f = \infty, \text{ a.e.}$$

- ▶ Akcoglu, 1975, 1977, T is **positive**–Dilation theorem.

- ▶ Riesz, 1938, mean ergodic theorem, T : L_p -contraction, namely $\|T\|_{L_p \rightarrow L_p} \leq 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- ▶ Burkholder, 1962, T is **not** positive,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i f = \infty, \text{ a.e.}$$

- ▶ Akcoglu, 1975, 1977, T is **positive**–Dilation theorem.
- ▶ Dunford and Schwartz, 1958, [Linear Operators. I. General Theory, section VIII.5], Banach space; $\|T\|_{L_p \rightarrow L_p} \leq 1$ for **all** $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.
- ▶ ...

The rate of ergodic convergence

Theorem (Krengel, 1978)

Let T be an unitary operator on $L_p([0, 1])$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Define

$$M_n(T)f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i f.$$

For any **null-sequence** $(a_n)_n$ (that is $a_n \rightarrow 0$), there exists a continuous function $f \in L_p([0, 1])$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \left\| M_n(T)f - \int f \right\|_{L_p} = \infty.$$

Remark: the ergodic convergence can be arbitrarily slow.

Metastability (Kohlenbach)

for all $\epsilon > 0$, for all function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $j, l \in [N, N + g(N)]$ such that $\|M_j(T)f - M_l(T)f\|_{L_p} \leq \epsilon$.

- ▶ Tao, 2008.
- ▶ Kohlenbach, 2008.
- ▶ Avigad et al, 2010, ϵ -fluctuations.
- ▶ ...

Square function

$$S(f) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |M_{n_i}(T)f - M_{n_{i+1}}(T)f|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Jones, Ostrovskii and Rosenblatt, 1996, T is an **unitary** operator,
 $\|S(f)\|_{L_2} \leq 25\|f\|_{L_2}$.

Advantage:

- ▶ quantitative estimate the rate of convergence;
- ▶ $\sup_{(n_i)} \|M_{n_i}(T)f - M_{n_{i+1}}(T)f\|_{L_2} < \infty$.

Idea: the spectral theory of unitary operators.

- ▶ $T : L_2 \rightarrow L_2$ is a **contraction**.

Idea: Dilation theorem. Sz-Nagy and Foias, 1967,

$$T^i = PU^i,$$

where $\mathbb{H} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_0$, $U : \mathbb{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_0$ is an unitary operator and
 $P : \mathbb{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a projection.

Noncommutative ergodic theory

Noncommutative L_p -spaces

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal semifinite faithful trace τ . Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}_+}$ denote the set of all $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ such that $\tau(\text{supp}x) < \infty$, where $\text{supp}x$ denotes the support of x . Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the linear span of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}_+}$. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a w^* -dense $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Given $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$, if we set

$$\|x\|_p = (\tau(|x|^p))^{1/p},$$

where $|x| = (x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the modulus of x . Then $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a normed space, whose completion is the noncommutative L_p -space associated with (\mathcal{M}, τ) , and simply denoted by $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. As usual, we set $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$ equipped with the operator norm.

Ergodic averages

Let $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a linear map. Set

$$M_n(T)x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i x.$$

Mean ergodic theorem (Jajte, 1981, 1985)

Assume that $\tau(1) = 1$, T is positive and satisfies $\tau \circ T \leq \tau$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$. Then there exists $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n(T)x = \hat{x} \text{ in } L_2(\mathcal{M}).$$

T : Dunford-Schwartz operator, namely T is **positive** and a **contraction** on \mathcal{M} and $\tau \circ T \leq \tau$ for all $x \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}_+$ ($\Rightarrow T$ is L_p contraction for **all** $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.)

Pointwise ergodic theorem (Dunford-Schwartz operator)

- ▶ **Yeadon, 1977, JLMS**: weak type (1,1) maximal ergodic inequality.
- ▶ **Junge and Xu, 2007, JAMS**: maximal ergodic inequality on L_p .

T : Dunford-Schwartz operator, namely T is **positive** and a **contraction** on \mathcal{M} and $\tau \circ T \leq \tau$ for all $x \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}_+$ ($\Rightarrow T$ is L_p contraction for **all** $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.)

Pointwise ergodic theorem (Dunford-Schwartz operator)

- ▶ Yeadon, 1977, JLMS: weak type (1,1) maximal ergodic inequality.
- ▶ Junge and Xu, 2007, JAMS: maximal ergodic inequality on L_p .
- ▶ Bekjan, 2008, JFA.
- ▶ Hu, 2008, JFA.
- ▶ Hong and Sun, 2018, JFA.
- ▶ ...

Beyond the class of Dunford-Schwartz operators

Fix $1 < p < \infty$. T : power bounded operator, namely

$$\sup_k \|T^k : L_p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M})\| < \infty.$$

- ▶ [Hong, Liao and Wang, 2020, Duke Math. J.](#)

T : positive group action and power bounded.

- ▶ [Hong, Ray and Wang, 2020, arXiv:1907.12967](#)

T : A large subclass of positive operators (including positive Lamperti contraction).

The first Akcoglu's maximal ergodic inequalities in the noncommutative setting.

Noncommutative Hilbert-valued L_p -spaces

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, let (x_n) be a finite sequence in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$.

Define

$$\|(x_n)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^r)} = \left\| \left(\sum_n |x_n^*|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p, \quad \|(x_n)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^c)} = \left\| \left(\sum_n |x_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p.$$

Row space: $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^r)$; Column space: $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^c)$.

The mixed space $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})$:

- ▶ If $2 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|(x_n)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} = \left(\|(x_n)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^r)}^p + \|(x_n)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^c)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

- ▶ If $1 \leq p < 2$,

$$\|(x_n)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} = \inf_{\substack{x_n = y_n + z_n \\ y_n, z_n \in L_p(\mathcal{M})}} \left(\|(y_n)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^r)}^p + \|(z_n)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^c)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Main result

Theorem 1

Let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that T satisfies

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|T^k : L_p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M})\| < \infty.$$

Set

$$M_n(T)x = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{i=-n}^n T^i x.$$

Then for any $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$

$$\sup_{(n_i) \subseteq \mathbb{N}} \left\| (M_{n_i}(T)x - M_{n_{i+1}}(T)x)_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{cr})} \lesssim \|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}.$$

Remark: Junge, Le Merdy and Xu, 2006, *Astérisque*

The infinite summations over $i \in \mathbb{N}$ can be understood as a consequence of the corresponding uniform boundedness for all finite summations

Lamperti operator (or separates supports) T : for any two τ -finite projections $e, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies $eg = 0$, then

$$(Te)^* Tg = Te(Tg)^* = 0.$$

Lamperti operator (or separates supports) T : for any two τ -finite projections $e, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies $eg = 0$, then

$$(Te)^* Tg = Te(Tg)^* = 0.$$

- ▶ **Kan, 1978**, for $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$, any isometry $T : L_p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M})$ is Lamperti.
Moreover, if T is positive isometry on $L_2(\mathcal{M})$, then T is Lamperti.
- ▶ positive invertible operators which are not Lamperti.

Theorem 2

Let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that T belongs to the family

$$\mathfrak{G} = \overline{\text{conv}}^{\text{SOT}} \{S : L_p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}) \text{ Lamperti contractions}\},$$

that is, the closed convex hull of all Lamperti contractions on $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ in the sense of strong operator topology.

Then for any $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ with $2 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\sup_{(n_i) \subseteq \mathbb{N}} \left\| (M_{n_i}(T)x - M_{n_{i+1}}(T)x)_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \lesssim \|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}.$$

If adding the **positive** property of the operator, the above inequalities hold for $1 < p < \infty$.

Proof

Theorem 1, Theorem 2 \rightsquigarrow operator-valued case.

Operator-valued averaging operator

Let $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be an interval. Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{M}}$ be a locally integrable operator-valued function, where $S_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the subset of \mathcal{M} with τ -finite support. The average operator over A is defined by

$$M_I f(v) = \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{y \in I} f(v + y), \quad v \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- ▶ Nested sequence: $I_{n_i} = [-n_i, n_i]$ or $I_{n_i} = [0, n_i]$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = L_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$.

Proof of Theorem 1

The noncommutative variant of Calderón's transference principle

Theorem 3

Let T satisfy

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|T^k : L_p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M})\| < \infty.$$

If

$$\|(M_{I_{n_i}} f - M_{I_{n_{i+1}}} f)_i\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^{rc})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N})}$$

then

$$\|(M_{n_i}(T)x - M_{n_{i+1}}(T)x)_i\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \lesssim \|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}.$$

- ▶ Idea: Hong, Liao and Wang, 2020, Duke Math. J.

The strategy of proof of Theorem 2

step 1: Dilation

The operator T is said to satisfy the **dilation** property if there exists two linear **contraction operators** Q, J on $L_p(\mathcal{A}, \tau_{\mathcal{A}})$, and an **isometry** U on $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$T^n = QU^nJ, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Moreover, if T is positive, then Q, J and U as above can be taken to be positive.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) & \xrightarrow{T^n} & L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \\ J \downarrow & & \uparrow Q \\ L_p(\mathcal{A}, \tau_{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{U^n} & L_p(\mathcal{A}, \tau_{\mathcal{A}}) \end{array}$$

- ▶ [Hong, Ray and Wang, 2020, arXiv:1907.12967](#): $T \in \mathfrak{G}$, T satisfies N -dilation for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 1(noncommutative Khintchine inequalities)

(i) If $1 < p < \infty$, then

$$\left\| \sum_n \varepsilon_n X_n \right\|_{L_p(L_\infty(\Omega) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})} \approx \|(X_n)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})}.$$

(ii) If $p = 1$, then

$$\left\| \sum_n \varepsilon_n X_n \right\|_{L_{1,\infty}(L_\infty(\Omega) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})} \approx \|(X_n)\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})},$$

where (ε_n) is a Rademacher sequence on probability space (Ω, P)

► Lust-Piquard, 1986; Lust-Piquard, Pisier, 1991.

Lemma 2

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $T : L_p(\mathcal{A}, \tau_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a bounded linear operator. Then T extends to a bounded operator from $L_p(\mathcal{A}; \ell_2^{rc})$ to $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})$.

Lemma 2

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $T : L_p(\mathcal{A}, \tau_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a bounded linear operator. Then T extends to a bounded operator from $L_p(\mathcal{A}; \ell_2^{rc})$ to $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})$.

By Lemma 2 and $M_{n_i}(T)x = QM_{n_i}(U)Jx$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (M_{n_i}(T)x - M_{n_{i+1}}(T)x)_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \\ & \lesssim \left\| (M_{n_i}(U)Jx - M_{n_{i+1}}(U)Jx)_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{A}; \ell_2^{rc})}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Ergodic square function associated with isometry

Theorem 4

Let $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ with $2 \leq p < \infty$. Let U be an isometry on $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. Then

$$\sup_{(n_i) \subseteq \mathbb{N}} \left\| (M_{n_i}(U)x - M_{n_{i+1}}(U)x)_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \lesssim \|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}.$$

Moreover, if additionally U is **positive**, then the above inequalities hold for every $1 < p < \infty$.

The proof of Theorem 4

Key lemma

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $U : L_p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M})$ be an **isometry**.

For $2 \leq p < \infty$, U can be extended an **isometry** on $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{cr})$;

for $1 \leq p < 2$, U can be extended a **contraction** on $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{cr})$.

If U is **positive**, U can be extended an **isometry** on $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{cr})$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

The structural description of isometry operators

Lemma (Yeadon, 1981; Junge, Ruan and Sherman, 2005).

Let $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$. $T : L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is an isometry if and only if there exist uniquely

a partial isometry $w \in \mathcal{M}$,

a normal Jordan $*$ -monomorphism $J : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$,

and a positive self-adjoint operator b affiliated with \mathcal{M} , such that

(i) $w^*w = \text{supp}b = J(1)$;

(ii) For all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $J(x)$ commutes with every spectral projection of b ;

(iii) $T(x) = wbJ(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$;

(iv) $\tau(b^p J(x)) = \tau(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$.

Transference principle for isometry operator

By [key lemma](#), we prove that
let U be an isometry on $L_p(\mathcal{M})$, if

$$\|(M_{I_{n_i}} f - M_{I_{n_{i+1}}} f)_i\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^{rc})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N})}$$

then

$$\|(M_{n_i}(U)x - M_{n_{i+1}}(U)x)_i\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^{rc})} \lesssim \|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}.$$

Operator-valued square function

Theorem 5

Let $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the increasing sequence of positive integers. Set $T_i = M_{l_{n_i}} - M_{l_{n_{i+1}}}$. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then

(i) for $p = 1$,

$$\|(T_i f)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^{rc})} \lesssim \|f\|_1;$$

(ii) for $p = \infty$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i:i \in \mathbb{N}} T_i f \otimes e_{1i} \right\|_{\text{BMO}_d(\mathcal{R})} + \left\| \sum_{i:i \in \mathbb{N}} T_i f \otimes e_{i1} \right\|_{\text{BMO}_d(\mathcal{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty;$$

(iii) for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|(T_i f)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^{rc})} \lesssim \|f\|_p,$$

where $\mathcal{N} = L_\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$ equipped with the tensor trace $\varphi = \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \tau$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{N} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$.

Sketch for the proof of Theorem 5

Fix an increasing sequence $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let $(I_{n_i} = [0, n_i])_i$ be the associated interval sequence. Observe that there are two cases for the interval $J_i = [n_i, n_{i+1})$:

- Case 1: $J_i \subset [2^k, 2^{k+1})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- Case 2: J_i contains some dyadic points 2^k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Case 2, there exist t_i such that $2^{t_i} = \min\{2^k : 2^k \in J_i\}$ and s_i such that $2^{s_i} = \max\{2^k : 2^k \in J_i\}$. Then, in this case, one can see that

$$J_i = [n_i, 2^{t_i}) \cup [2^{t_i}, 2^{s_i}) \cup [2^{s_i}, n_{i+1}). \quad (1.1)$$

Note that $[n_i, 2^{t_i})$ and $[2^{s_i}, n_{i+1})$ belong to Case 1 (if $t_i = s_i$, then the middle interval of (1.1) is an empty set).

For any interval J_i , the worst case is when we divide J_i into three parts; in this case we have the decomposition

$$T_i = M_{I_{n_i}} - M_{I_{n_{i+1}}} = (M_{I_{n_i}} - M_{I_{2^t i}}) + (M_{I_{2^t i}} - M_{I_{2^s i}}) + (M_{I_{2^s i}} - M_{I_{n_{i+1}}}).$$

We introduce two collections of the indices i with respect to J_i :

- \mathcal{S} consists of all i such that the corresponding intervals J_i belongs to Case 1, or $[n_i, 2^t i)$, $[2^s i, n_{i+1})$ in (1.1).
- \mathcal{L} consists of all i intervals such that the corresponding intervals $J_i = [2^t i, 2^s i)$ in Case 2.

Then

$$\|(T_i f)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^{rc})} \lesssim \|(T_i f)_{i \in \mathcal{L}}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^{rc})} + \|(T_i f)_{i \in \mathcal{S}}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^{rc})}.$$

- ▶ $p = 1$, the quasi-inequality of $L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^{rc})$ norm.

The long part

Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a locally integrable operator-valued function. The operator-valued dyadic martingale $(E_n f)$ is defined by

$$E_{2^n}(f) := \sum_{I \in \mathcal{F}_{2^n}} \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{y \in I} f(y) \chi_I,$$

where \mathcal{F}_{2^n} is the σ -algebra generated by the dyadic interval I with $|I| = 2^n$.

$\forall i \in \mathcal{L}$, one can decompose

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{I_{2^{n_i}}} f - M_{I_{2^{n_{i+1}}}} f \\ &= (M_{I_{2^{n_i}}} f - E_{2^{n_i}} f) + (E_{2^{n_i}} f - E_{2^{n_{i+1}}} f) + (E_{2^{n_{i+1}}} f - M_{I_{2^{n_{i+1}}}} f). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Hong and Xu, 2020, JFA.: for part $M_{I_{2^{n_i}}} f - E_{2^{n_i}} f$.
- ▶ Mei and Parcet, 2009, IMRN: for part $E_{2^{n_i}} f - E_{2^{n_{i+1}}} f$.

The short part

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Set $T_i = M_{I_{n_i}} - M_{I_{n_{i+1}}}$. Then

(i) for $p = 1$,

$$\|(T_i f)_{i \in \mathcal{S}}\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^{\mathbb{R}^c})} \lesssim \|f\|_1;$$

(ii) for $p = \infty$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} T_i f \otimes e_{1i} \right\|_{\text{BMO}_d(\mathcal{R})} + \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} T_i f \otimes e_{i1} \right\|_{\text{BMO}_d(\mathcal{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty;$$

(iii) for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|(T_i f)_{i \in \mathcal{S}}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^{\mathbb{R}^c})} \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

Some technical lemmas

$p = 2$: Almost orthogonality principle

Lemma (Hong and Ma, 2017, Math. Z)

Let $(S_{k,i})_{k,i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of bounded linear operators on $L_2(\mathcal{N})$. Let $h \in L_2(\mathcal{N})$. If $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are two sequences of operator-valued functions in $L_2(\mathcal{N})$ such that $h = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u_n$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|v_n\|_2^2 < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(S_{k,i}h)_i\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^c)}^2 \leq w^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|v_n\|_2^2$$

provided that there exists a sequence $(\sigma(j))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of positive numbers with $w = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma(j) < \infty$ such that

$$\|(S_{k,i}u_n)_i\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^c)} \leq \sigma(n-k) \|v_n\|_2$$

for every n, k .

$p = 1$: Noncommutative Calderón-Zygmund decomposition

Lemma (Cadilhac, Conde-Alonso and Parcet, arXiv:2105.05036)

Fix $f \in \mathcal{N}_{c,+}$ and $\lambda > 0$. Let $(q_n)_n$ and $(p_n)_n$ be the two sequences of projections appeared in the above Cuculescu's construction. Then there exist a projection $\zeta \in \mathcal{N}$ defined by

$$\zeta = \left(\bigvee_{I \in \mathcal{F}} p_I \chi_{5I} \right)^\perp,$$

where $5I$ denotes the interval with the same center as I with length $|5I| = 5|I|$, and a decomposition of f ,

$$f = g + b.$$

g and b satisfy the following properties:

(i) $\lambda\varphi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{N}} - \zeta) \leq 5\|f\|_1$.

(ii) $g = qfq + \sum_n p_n f_n p_n$ satisfies
 $\|g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$ and $\|g\|_\infty \leq 2\lambda$.

(iii) $b = \sum_n b_n$, where

$$b_n = p_n(f - f_n)q_n + q_{n+1}(f - f_n)p_n.$$

Each b_n satisfies two cancellation conditions: $E_n b_n = 0$; and for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $y \in 5I_{x,n}$, $\zeta(x)b_n(y)\zeta(x) = 0$, where $I_{x,n}$ is the interval in \mathcal{F}_n containing x .

► By **Noncommutative Khintchine inequalities**,

$$(T_i f)_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \rightsquigarrow \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \varepsilon_i T_i f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \varepsilon_i T_i f,$$

where \mathcal{S}_k consists of i in \mathcal{S} such that $[n_i, n_{i+1})$ contains in $[2^k, 2^{k+1})$.

BMO estimate

By Operator valued Hardy spaces (Mei, 2007), the dyadic BMO space $\text{BMO}_d(\mathcal{R})$ is defined as a subspace of $L_\infty(\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(l_2); L_2^r(\mathbb{Z}; dx/(1+|x|)^2))$ with

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_d(\mathcal{R})} = \max \left\{ \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_d^r(\mathcal{R})}, \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_d^c(\mathcal{R})} \right\} < \infty,$$

where the row and column dyadic BMO_d norms are given by

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_d^r(\mathcal{R})} = \sup_{I \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{x \in I} \left| (f(x) - \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{y \in I} f(y))^* \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(l_2)},$$

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_d^c(\mathcal{R})} = \sup_{I \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{x \in I} \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{y \in I} f(y) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(l_2)}.$$

By the definition of BMO spaces, we are reduced to showing

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in S} T_i f \otimes e_{i1} \right\|_{\text{BMO}_d(\mathcal{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty,$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in S} T_i f \otimes e_{1i} \right\|_{\text{BMO}_d(\mathcal{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty.$$

By the definition of BMO spaces, we are reduced to showing

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} T_i f \otimes e_{i1} \right\|_{\text{BMO}_d(\mathcal{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty,$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} T_i f \otimes e_{1i} \right\|_{\text{BMO}_d(\mathcal{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_\infty.$$

- ▶ $1 < p < 2$, real interpolation ([Pisier and Xu, 2003, Book](#)).
- ▶ $2 < p < \infty$, complex interpolation ([Musat, 2003, JFA](#)).

Thank you for your attention !